S.D.N.Y. - N.Y.C. 07-cv-7239 Wood, C.J.

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse, 500 Pearl Street, in the City of New York, on the 13th day of December, two thousand seven,


Present:

Hon. Rosemary S. Pooler,

Hon. Robert D. Sack,

Hon. Sonia Sotomayor,

Circuit Judges.



Sylvia Hines,

Petitioner,

V.

07-3611-op

Ada Perez,

Respondent.

Petitioner, pro se, moves for an order authorizing the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York to consider a second or successive 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition, and for in forma pauperis status. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion for authorization to proceed with a § 2254 petition is DENIED for failure to meet the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b). It is further ORDERED that petitioner's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is

A TRUE COPY

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

Deputy Clerk

ISSUED AS MANDATE:

JAN 2 3 2008

DENIED as moot because no filing fee is required in this Court for an application for leave to file a second or successive habeas petition. *See Liriano v. United States*, 95 F.3d 119, 123 (2d Cir. 1996).

FOR THE COURT:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

By: <u>/</u>


United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

· : · · · ·	Atasi	tated term	of the Uni	ted State	es Court c	of Appeals	for the S	Second
Circuit,	held at the	Daniel Pa	trick Moy	nihan U	nited Stat	es Courtho	ouse, 500) Pearl
Street, i	in the City	of New Y	Tork, on th	ne 13 th	day of I	ecember .	, two the	ousand
seven,								

Present:

Hon. Rosemary S. Pooler, Hon. Robert D. Sack, Hon. Sonia Sotomayor, *Circuit Judges*.



Sylvia Hines,

Petitioner,

V.

07-3611-op

Ada Perez,

Respondent.

Petitioner, pro se, moves for an order authorizing the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York to consider a second or successive 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition, and for in forma pauperis status. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion for authorization to proceed with a § 2254 petition is DENIED for failure to meet the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b). It is further ORDERED that petitioner's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is

	THE MANDATE C	ONSISTING OF
	PURINC DET OW H	AC REEN RECEIVED
• .	() OPINION () S	TATEMENT OF COSTS
SAO-JS	(YORDER	
•.	RECEIVED BY:	DATE

DENIED as moot because no filing fee is required in this Court for an application for leave to file a second or successive habeas petition. See Liriano v. United States, 95 F.3d 119, 123 (2d Cir. 1996).

FOR THE COURT:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

3y://///